

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-67 Site: 42 Allen Street

Date of Decision: March 4, 2009

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk:** March 12, 2009

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Marc Resnick

Applicant Address: 183 Harvard Avenue, Allston, MA 02134

Property Owner Name: Marc Resnick

Property Owner Address: 183 Harvard Avenue, Allston, MA 02134

Agent Name: Alissa Devlin, Esq.

Agent Address: 183 Harvard Avenue, Allston, MA 02134

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant & Owner: Mark Resnick seeks a special permit under §4.4.1

in order to finish and legalize partially completed alterations to a non-

conforming structure. RB zone. Ward 2.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 2

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

<u>Date of Application:</u> November 26, 2008 <u>Date(s) of Public Hearing:</u> 1/7, 1/21, 2/4, 2/18, 3/4/09

<u>Date of Decision:</u> March 4, 2009

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #2008-67 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on January 7, 2009. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After five hearings of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to legalize and complete the two floor 15.3' by 26.8' rear addition that had previously been started. When completed the structure would contain 1,681 n.s.f. The addition would enable the applicant to reorganize and expand the two bedrooms in each unit. No additional units or bedrooms would be added. No additional parking would be required.

Date: March 10, 2009 Case #:ZBA 2008-67 Site: 42 Allen Street

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, The Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposed work by the new owner will correct an unfortunate situation that has left an eyesore on the neighborhood for almost two years. The design of the structure and the materials to be used will help to create a cohesive and properly scaled building. The Board finds that the design to complete this modest structure will fit within the neighborhood context.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board will require a landscaping plan to be submitted to OSPCD that will screen and improve the appearance of the site. The redesign of the front entrance toward the street will enable the applicant to provide more landscaping along the front of the building along the sidewalk, which will improve the pedestrian experience in the area.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

While the building will be compatible with the density and character of the area, questions continue regarding site access. The November 10, 2008 site plan that was submitted to OSPCD on January 29, 2009 shows the existing driveway and curb cut being maintained. This access is not located on the applicant's property and there is no easement on record to use the driveway. If an agreement cannot be reached by the applicant and the owner of 36 Allen Street, a new curb cut would need to be cut for access to the site. This would require approval from DPW and the Traffic and Parking Department.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
1	Approval is for the construction of an approximately 15.3' deep by 26.8' wide, two story rear addition. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	(11/26/08)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	1/30/09(2/3/09)	Elevations			
	11/10/08(1/29/09)	Site Plan			
	Any changes to the approved elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	A landscaping plan shall be submitted to OSPCD for approval.		Building Permit	Plng/ISD	
3	The applicant shall secure an easement to use the existing curb cut and driveway on the 36 Allen Street property. If no agreement is reached the applicant shall submit to OSPCD/DPW & Traffic and Parking a new site plan for approval.		Building Permit	Plng /DPW /T&P	
4	A code compliant fire alarm system shall be installed.		СО	FP	
5	All construction materionsite. If occupancy of occupancy must be in certification the Manual on Uniform prior approval of the Tribe obtained.	During Construction	T&P		
6	The Applicant shall conworking days in advance the building permit to e in accordance with the the conditions attached	Final sign off	Plng.		
7	The applicant shall substaff approval that indi-	Building Permit	Plng./ISD		

Date: March 10, 2009 Case #:ZBA 2008-67 Site: 42 Allen Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative Ass	sistant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	he
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty d City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c.	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appear recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and i of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate	d after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City l has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is ndexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty da Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has bee recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and it of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certification appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will under the permit may be ordered undone.	ys have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the en filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner licate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence recorded.	with any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of t any appeals that were filed have been finally disn FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	the City Clerk, or nissed or denied.
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of t there has been an appeal filed.	the City Clerk, or

Signed City Clerk Date